


AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1 OF 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 000001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 05/04/09	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO.
6. ISSUED BY BBG/IBB OFFICE OF CONTRACTS Switzer Bldg - Room 2512A 330 "C" Street SW Washington, DC 20237 Attn: Rachel E. Johnson Phone: (202) 205-0388		CODE M/CON	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., street, city, county, State, and ZIP Code)		X	9a. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. BBGCON-AEIDIQ-042009
			9b. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 04/20/09
			10a. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ ORDER NO.
			10b. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.			
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)			
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.			
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) . THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.			
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b)			
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:			
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) AUTHORITY CITED IN BLOCK 14 OF THIS MODIFICATION			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)			
1. The above-cited Solicitation is hereby amended to incorporate questions with answers. 2. The cut-off date for submission of questions is Wednesday, May 6, 2009. 3. The proposal due date remains Thursday, May 21, 2009. 4. All other terms and conditions of the subject Solicitation remain unchanged.			
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force.			
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return <u> </u> copies to the issuing office.			
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER Rachel E. Johnson	
15B. NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR BY _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY  (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 5/04/09

The following information is provided to clarify the Solicitation and to answer questions submitted by Offerors:

Offeror Question No. 1: If there an incumbent vendor on this project or on similar projects for the BBG? If so, please state the name of the incumbent.

IBB Answer No. 1: Yes, Hatfield & Dawson / Dutriel Rackley JV.

Offeror Question No. 2: Is this procurement new or will the procurement be an extension of an existing maintenance contract?

IBB Answer No. 2: New.

Offeror Question No. 3: Is the project funded?

IBB Answer No. 3: Yes and Task Orders are funded individually.

Offeror Question No. 4: Previous revisions of the above described SOL required both SF330 and a SF255, we now read the requirements and a SF255 is not required. Explain.

IBB Answer No. 4: The solicitation has been revised with current required documentation. The SF-255 is no longer required.

Offeror Question No. 5: The title of the project reads "IDIQ Contract for A&E Services for MW & TV Design & Support activities at IBB Stations". Does MW mean Medium Wave or Microwave Wave?

IBB Answer No. 5: MW means Medium Wave.

Offeror Question No. 6: Is the BBG looking for a manufacturer of equipment or a "certificated" engineering firm that has serviced both domestic and international TV broadcasters?

IBB Answer No. 6: No, the BBG has a requirement for the A&E Design Services.

Offeror Question No. 7: SF 330 is usually used for professional engineering companies. Does the A&E Company have to be certified by any a state board of professional engineer agency?

IBB Answer No. 7: Per FAR 36.601-4(a), "Professional services of an architectural or engineering nature, as defined by applicable State law, which the State law requires to be performed or approved by a registered architect or engineer." As stated as on e of the evaluation criteria in solicitation, "Professional qualifications are necessary for satisfactory performance of the required broadcast engineering design services."

Offeror Question No. 8: Which radio manufacturer (i.e. Harris, Continental, Siemens, etc) is the IBB transmitting sites currently using at the transmitting sites described in the SOL?

IBB Answer No. 8: IBB currently operates & maintains a variety of equipment. Applicable documentation will be made available prior to award of individual task orders.

Offeror Question No. 9: Does the selected A&E Company have to be certified by the radio manufacturer?

IBB Answer No. 9: See Answer #7 Above.

Offeror Question No. 10: Does the BBG have existing drawings / acceptance tests and site specification documentation on each site? Please describe the condition, format and age of the documentation.

IBB Answer No. 10: Applicable documentation will be made available prior to award of individual task orders.

Offeror Question No. 11: Does the reports and testing documentation submitted to BBG require to be signed and sealed by a professional registered engineer (Electrical / Structural / Civil)?

IBB Answer No. 11: Yes, See Answer #7 Above.

Offeror Question No. 12: We request an extension to allow us to analyze your answers to our questions.

IBB Answer No. 12: The proposal due date is not extended and remains 2:00 pm local time, Thursday, May 21, 2009.